

[SENATE] [ASSEMBLY] JOINT RESOLUTION NO. \_\_\_

[SENATE] [ASSEMBLY] JOINT RESOLUTION – Urging Congress to take certain actions to protect the privacy of citizens of Nevada.

WHEREAS, Rapid and continuing technological change pose an increasing threat to the privacy of the citizens of Nevada; and

WHEREAS, Commercial, criminal, and governmental enterprises operating both within the United States and external to the United States are using currently legal and illegal means to obtain as much information as possible about the lives and activities of citizens of the State of Nevada; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED BY THE SENATE AND ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, JOINTLY, That the members of the 77<sup>th</sup> Session of the Nevada Legislature hereby urge Congress to enact legislation ensuring that information about the lives and activities of citizens of the State of Nevada be collected and used only with the continuing consent of the individual citizen concerned, given openly, knowledgeably, and explicitly for a specific, identified purpose; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the [Secretary of the Senate] [Chief Clerk of the Assembly] prepare and transmit a copy of this resolution to the Vice President of the United States as the presiding officer of the United States Senate, the Speaker of the House of Representatives and each member of the Nevada Congressional Delegation; and be it further

RESOLVED, That this resolution becomes effective upon passage.

[Explanatory Notes:

1. There can be as many “Whereas” provisions as deemed appropriate.
2. The “Resolved” provisions can be as specific as deemed appropriate.
3. The descriptors used above to describe individual consent (“openly, knowledgeably, and specifically”) are placeholders. It is likely that other terminology has been identified as appropriate by the privacy protection community.
4. I (JDE) have phrased the resolution to be on the extreme end of privacy protection because it is simple and easily understood. Actual federal legislation would likely have to be much more nuanced, but too much nuance in the proposed Joint Resolution would likely lead to quibbling that would impede passage.]